

Enhance driver behaviour & Public Acceptance of Connected & Autonomous vehicles

Pilot 5 Final Event (Conclusions): Vulnerable travellers in connected transport environments

28/10/2021





Project Overview

1. Summary

PAsCAL: Enhance driver behavior and acceptance of connected, cooperative and automated transport

2. Activities

Start date 06.01.2019 **Duration** 36 + 6 months **Budget** € 3.974.041,25 Funded by H2020 13 partners7 countries34 deliverables





What is the meaning of...?

1. CAV

Connected and Automated Vehicle:

- Connected to other traffic participants OR automatised processes onboard.
- Examples Connectivity: Google Maps, Uber, ShareNow, next stop



 Examples Automation: Cruise control, stop-and-go, rain detector.





2. Vulnerable travellers

Travellers with mobility constraints, which are for example:

 Temporary: Injuried, pregnant, heavy luggage.



Permanent: Elderly, blind & partially sighted, wheelchair users.





What is the meaning of...?

3. UI/UX

- User interface: visual interface elements (colors, menu bars, typography);
- User experience: usability, journey throughout the software, logic.







Pilot 5 - Structure & Table of Contents

Duration: 5 months (March 2021 – July 2021)

Participants: 236 individuals (Avg. citizens, elderly, blind & partially sighted, wheelchair users)





Pilot 5 - Apertum Testing (I)

What is Apertum?

Free transport app offering real-time accessible public transport routing to vulnerable transport users by recalculating algorithms:

- Platforms: iOS, Android, Web App
- Deployments: Madrid, Spain
- **User modes**: 8 modes (wheelchair, elderly, light luggage, with children, pregnant, with pushchair, injured, heavy luggage)
- Adaptations: max. walking distance, preferred mode of transport (metro, bus or both)
- Station criteria: red, yellow, green stations
- Information: Status of station, pictures







Pilot 5 - Apertum Testing (II)

Who participated?

- *Nadiesolo* for elderly persons, *FLM* for persons in wheelchairs/crutches, *UAX* for students.
- 167 participants in 22 separate batches, 7 pilot days, 3 waves

Recruiting	Category	No of batches	Size of batches
Nadie solo	Elderly	6	5-6
FLM	Disabled	6	5
UAX	Students	10	5-15

• 3 Scenarios (1 per pilot wave), to test different levels of difficulty.





Pilot 5 - Apertum Testing (III)















Pilot 5 - Apertum Testing (IV)



PAseAl

21%

28%

Pilot 5 - Apertum Testing (V)

Connected transport accessibility

Will connected transport help you to travel more independently?



			0		·			
Q2: Please tell us your age	Very of	ten 🍦	Sometimes 🔶	Rare	ely 🔷 🌲		Never	\$
≤ 25	• *	8.6%	^ 36.6%	^	46.2%		8	8.6%
26 - 64	•	50.0%	22.5%	~	25.0%		2	2.5%
≥ 65	•	21.9%	18.8%		34.4%	*	25	5.0%
Q18: Which information do you co 🔶 Cheo	cked Percent					•	,	\$
Station/stop information (accessibility	cked Percent					•		
N							/ / /	.0%
Real-time information about arrivals							68	3.5%
Accessible routes inside stations							61	. 2%
Learn in advance if the station/stop is							60	.0%
Routing adapted to the type of non-co							47	.9%

20.0%

40.0%

0.0%

Q14: When using public transport for your urban trips, ... 🚸

60.0%

80.0%





Pilot 5 - Apertum Testing (VI)

Conclusions

- Terminology: "CAV" is not intuitive, real-world examples are needed;
- Familiar CAVs: navigation systems, ridesharing services, connected features;
- Unfamiliar CAV technologies: autonomous features;
- 87% believe that connected applications helps to navigate around obstacles;
- Connected features can raise autonomy of travel significantly;
- HMIs today widely non-accessible;
- Aids today widely analogue & non-connected (tactile pavement, mapping of stations, etc.)





Pilot 5 - Apertum Testing (VI)

Recommendations

- **Terminology**: Add Examples of CAV technologies in public communication;
- Expose the general public to higher levels of automation in safe environments;
- Legislation: render connected accessibility information obligatory across Europe;
- **Investments** in accessible connected transport needed (text-to-speech, audio-cues, height-adjusted ticket machines & entry gates);
- Indoor-mapping of stations for different mobility constraints deemed helpful & needed;
- Persons with mobility constraints to be included in **co-creation processes**.





Pilot 5 - Focus Discussion Groups in Italy (I)

The European Blind Union (EBU) and the Italian Union of the Blind and Partially Sighted (UICI) engaged in the PAsCAL project to make sure that the needs of visually impaired people are fully taken onboard when developing new connected mobility solutions.









Pilot 5 - Focus Discussion Groups in Italy (II)

Objectives

- understand what the main challenges in independent mobility persons with different degrees of visual impairment encounter
- gather what their needs are and what their perception of CAVs and connected mobility in general is as well as their worries and positive expectations about them.







Pilot 5 - Focus Discussion Groups in Italy (III)

4 Focus Group Discussion meetings held in 4 Italian cities



Why? To get a large variety of perceptions and understand the widest range of needs and requirements in various urban settings.





Pilot 5 - Focus Discussion Groups in Italy (IV)

Group composition

Do you have a visual impairment?

20.37% 3.70%



l am blind

I am partially sighted

🛯 I am deaf-blind





Pilot 5 - Focus Discussion Groups in Italy (V)

Travelling independently

Feelings about travelling alone



Domanda 13 di 27: Backgrou 💠		Cour	nt \$	Percent \$
No	·		2	3.7%
Only known routes, for short dis			12	22.2%
Known routes any distance			28	51.9%
Yes, even unknown routes			12	22.2%
Total	0.0% 20.0% 40.0% 60.0%		54	100.0%

- Very important
- Important
- Less important



Pilot 5 - Focus Discussion Groups in Italy (VI)

Mobility

Public transport is still the most commonly used kind of transport. Metro and trains are more used than buses

5.56% 9.26%

35.19% 31.48% 18.52% Private car Public transport - Bus Public transport - Subway or train Walking None of the above PA

Which is your preferred transport mode?



Pilot 5 - Focus Discussion Groups in Italy (VII)

The most common aids for mobility are the white cane, GPS and sighted assistance.



- White cane
- GPS
- Guide dog
- Sighted assistance
- None





Pilot 5 - Focus Discussion Groups in Italy (VIII)

Most frequently used apps







Pilot 5 - Focus Discussion Groups in Italy (VIII)

Concerns about CAVS

- Safety
- Human surveillance
- "Ethical" programming
- Geo-localisation
- Lack of insurance schemes
- Lack of awareness/willingness of staff related to the correct use of public transport CAVs





Pilot 5 - Focus Discussion Groups in Italy (IX)

Connected and automated mobility seems to be both acceptable and desirable with no substantial distinction among age and disability degree.







Pilot 5 - Focus Discussion Groups in Italy (X)

If CAVs were available, I would use them



Willingness to use driverless vehicles by age





Pilot 5 - Focus Discussion Groups in Italy (XI)

Visual impaired persons' requirements about CAVs







Pilot 5 - Focus Discussion Groups in Italy (XII)



CAVs will be a fundamental support only if this technology is part of an accessible transport and urban infrastructure where hardware and software technology takes into consideration the needs of persons with disabilities since the design phase.





Pilot 5 - Apertum UI/UX (I)

2 Peer-Groups à 10 persons

- 1. Digital natives: Students (1.5h)
- 2. Digital nomads: Elderly persons (2h)

Reason: group of 20 is useful to find at least 95% of problems





Agenda

20 min	Introduction & Briefing
20 min	Free usage of application
20-40 min	Tasks 1-4 (Tutorial, Accessible stations in surroundings, 2 scenarios, Report incidence)
20-35 min	Survey

10 min Debriefing



Pilot 5 - Apertum UI/UX (II)

	1 This website has much that is of interest to me.
WAMMI Surveys	2 It is difficult to move around this website.
	3 I can quickly find what I want on this website.
	4 This website seems logical to me.
	5 This website needs more introductory explanations.
	6 The pages on this website are very attractive.
Strongly agree	7 I feel in control when I'm using this website.
	8 This website is too slow.
Somewhat agree	9 This website helps me find what I am looking for.
Neither agree nor disagree	10 Learning to find my way around this website is a problem.
Somewhat disagree	11 I don't like using this website.
	12 I can easily contact the people I want to on this website.
Strongly disagree	13 I feel efficient when I'm using this website.
	14 It is difficult to tell if this website has what I want.
	15 Using this website for the first time is easy.
	16 This website has some annoying features.
	17 Remembering where I am on this website is difficult.
	18 Using this website is a waste of time.
	19 I get what I expect when I click on things on this website.
	20 Everything on this website is easy to understand.





Pilot 5 - Apertum UI/UX (III)

Conclusions

- 94% of participants were able to complete all tasks in time;
- 27% needed help: small texts, small buttons, non-inuitive layout & logic;
- Ease of first-time reported via WAMMI:

Strongly agree	•	33.3%
Somewhat agree	•	33.3%
Neither agree nor disagree	•	9.5%
Somewhat disagree	•	4.8%
Strongly disagree	•	19.0%

Recommendations

- Elderly people ≠ Digital nomads, techn-savviness higher than expected
- UI/UX needs to be improved further to cater also to new user groups: Blind/Partially sighted (Text-2-Voice), reduced hand mobility & hands-free usage





Pilot 5 – Stakeholder interview (I)

CRTM – Consorcio Regional Transportes de Madrid

- Connected transport ≠ increased number of users, BUT increases loyalty
- Connected transport ≠ reduce no. of interchanges, BUT reduces information anxiety (i.e. in transfers)
- Young users have more intuitive understanding of complex systems, though **digital breach** got smaller due to the COVID-19 pandemic (tele-work)
- Connected transport: **useful in case of breakdown or maintenance works** (when a client is lost to works/breakdowns, sometimes it takes years to be 'recovered back to public transport)'
- New studies should **dis-aggregate user data** (better understanding)
- **Delay of increased connectivity**: data ownership, missing infrastructural investments (including accessibility infrastructures)
- Great potential for vulnerable travellers





Pilot 5 – Stakeholder interview (II)

View ahead

- No connected transport is possible without underlying 'bricks' infrastructures
- Ongoing accessibility projects: i) gain space for wheelchair users and ii) apps for visually impaired persons;
- CAVs allow adaptability: intelligent occupancy measurements, real-time management, separated bus lanes, autonomous buses & deterrent parking lots

"Without a working infrastructure at the foundation of connectivity, connected features add little to no value. A generally intact and working transport infrastructure must always come first." - CRTM









Enhance driver behaviour & Public Acceptance of Connected & Autonomous vehicles

Questions?





Thank you for your attention

